Documentation tool CRAAP Test

Resource Title:

Targeted Therapy for Metastatic Prostate Cancer with Radionuclides

Resource URL:

https://openresearchlibrary.org/viewer/58a2df61-e90e-407c-afac-465f52e9d1bf/1

Currency: The timeliness of the information:

When was the resource published or posted? Has the resource been revised or updated? Does your topic require current information? Are the links functional?

It was published 2016. It was not revised or updated. The topic requires current information. The links are functional

Relevance: The importance of the information for your needs

Does the resource relate to your needs?

Who is the intended audience?

Is the information in the resource at an appropriate level for your learners?

The resource relates to the needs of the course discussing theranostics for the audience of students in nuclear medicine. It is an appropriate level for learners as it is an introduction.

Authority: The source of the information

Who is the creator?

What are their credentials or organizational affiliations?

Are they qualified to write on this topic?

Hojjat Ahmadzadehfar who is part of the Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. They are very qualified to write on this topic.

Accuracy: The reliability and truthfulness of the information

Is the information supported by evidence?

Has the resource been reviewed or refereed?

Are there spelling, grammar, or typographical errors?

The evidence is cited throughout but it does not appear to be reviewed or refereed. There are no spelling grammatical or typographical errors.

Purpose: The reason the information exists

Why does this resource exist? (to inform, teach, sell, entertain, or persuade?)

Is the information fact, opinion, or propaganda?

Are there political, ideological, cultural, religious, institutional, or personal biases?

The information exists to inform and teach about the emergence of theranostics in nuclear medicine. The information is fact about the origins, current state, and future of nuclear medicine. They are part of the department of nuclear medicine but do not appear to have any biases.

Notes: Are there additional questions or observations you have about this material that affect your decision to use it? (i.e. this is an opinion piece that I will use to demonstrate one side of an argument).

There are no additional questions to add.

Final Recommendation; Will you use this resource? Why or why not?

I would recommend this resource as it provides cited background on the material.

